I hereby certify that on November 28, 2012, this correspondence is being submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office via the Office Electronic Filing System in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.6(a)(4), addressed to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Marilyn R. Khorsandi Hov. 28, 2012

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

David Allison Bennett, et al.

Application No.

09/684,871

Filed

October 6, 2000

Title

APPARATUS, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ONLINE, MULTI-CARRIER, MULTI-SERVICE PARCEL SHIPPING

MANAGEMENT FEATURING SHIPPING LOCATION
COMPARISON ACROSS MULTIPLE CARRIERS

Grp./Div.

3629

Examiner

Thompson, Michael M.

Docket No.

PSTM0003/MRK

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR EXAMINER CONSIDERATION OF REFERENCES LISTED ON PREVIOUSLY-FILED INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS (IDS)

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE (Filed Electronically)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

140 S. Lake Ave., Suite 300 Pasadena, CA 91101 November 28, 2012

## Commissioner:

This Filing is made concurrently with the filing of the Issue Fee and FORM PTOL-85 for the above-identified application.

On November 27, 2012, the undersigned attorney left a telephone message for the above-identified Examiner indicating that in preparing the case for payment of the Issue Fee, it was discovered that some of the references disclosed with Information Disclosure Statements (IDSs) previously-filed for the above-identified application had not been returned as considered; the telephone message indicated that a Request such as this present document would be filed to summarize the telephone message and to request consideration of those previously-filed IDSs.

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) for the above-identified application was filed by hardcopy on August 6, 2001; a copy of the **four-page SB/08a IDS Form** is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**; a copy of the **Return Postcard** for that August-6-2001-filed IDS (and showing that fifty (50) references had been enclosed with the filing), is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, and bears a stamp by the USPTO as having been received by the Patent Office on August 8, 2001. In preparing the case for payment of the Issue Fee, it was discovered that the four-page SB/08a IDS Form had never been returned as considered. The four-page August-2001 SB/08a IDS Form is not available on the USPTO PAIR System. However, the corresponding IDS Transmittal Letter and a

## TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR EXAMINER CONSIDERATION OF REFERENCES LISTED ON PREVIOUSLY-FILED INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS (IDS)

Application No. 09/684,871

number of, but not all of, the listed foreign and non-patent references are available on PAIR. It is respectfully requested that the references listed on the four-page August-2001 SB/08a IDS Form be considered, that the form be initialed as considered and returned to us, and that all of the listed references be reflected on the face of the Patent.

Further, an IDS was filed in hardcopy on August 20, 2001. A copy of that **SB/08 IDS Form** is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**, stamped by the USPTO as having been received on August 22, 2001. As shown in Exhibit C, the Examiner at the time lined-through two of the listed non-patent references. A **clean copy of that SB/08 IDS Form** is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**. It is respectfully requested that the two previously lined-through non-patent references be considered, that the form be initialed to show that those two previously lined-through non-patent references have been considered and returned to us, and that all of the listed references be reflected on the face of the Patent.

Yet further, an IDS was electronically filed on November 2, 2004. A copy of the **November 2, 2004 e-Filed SB/08a IDS Form** as printed from the USPTO PAIR System is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**. USPTO PAIR shows the November 2, 2004 e-Filed SB/08a IDS Form but does not show any Examiner consideration of that Form, nor was any initialed copy returned to us showing Examiner consideration. It is respectfully requested that the references listed on the November 2, 2004 e-Filed SB/08a IDS Form as shown on PAIR and attached hereto as Exhibit E be considered, and that the form be initialed as considered and returned to us, and that all of the listed references be reflected on the face of the Patent.

Respectfully submitted, KHORSANDI PATENT LAW GROUP, ALC

Jonel 1/0v. 28, 2012

Marilyn R. Khorsandi

Reg. No. 45,744

Customer No. 29524

626/796-2856

MRK/aa Enclosure